

A new form of the rotating C-metric

Kenneth Hong and Edward Teo

Department of Physics, National University of Singapore, Singapore 119260

Abstract

In a previous paper, we showed that the traditional form of the charged C-metric can be transformed, by a change of coordinates, into one with an explicitly factorizable structure function. This new form of the C-metric has the advantage that its properties become much simpler to analyze. In this paper, we propose an analogous new form for the rotating charged C-metric, with structure function $G(\xi) = (1 - \xi^2)(1 + r_+ A \xi)(1 + r_- A \xi)$, where $r_{\pm}$ are the usual locations of the horizons in the Kerr–Newman black hole. Unlike the non-rotating case, this new form is not related to the traditional one by a coordinate transformation. We show that the physical distinction between these two forms of the rotating C-metric lies in the nature of the conical singularities causing the black holes to accelerate apart: the new form is free of torsion singularities and therefore does not contain any closed timelike curves. We claim that this new form should be considered the natural generalization of the C-metric with rotation.

1. Introduction

The charged C-metric describes a pair of Reissner–Nordström black holes uniformly accelerating away from each other under the action of conical singularities in the spacetime. Its line element has traditionally been written in the form [1]:

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{A^2(x-y)^2} \left[G(y) dt^2 - \frac{dy^2}{G(y)} + \frac{dx^2}{G(x)} + G(x) d\phi^2 \right], \quad (1)$$

with the structure function

$$G(\xi) = 1 - \xi^2 - 2mA\xi^3 - q^2A^2\xi^4, \quad (2)$$

where m , q and A are parameters related to the mass, charge and acceleration of the black holes, respectively. However, in a recent paper [2], we advocated a new form of the charged C-metric, given by (1) but with the explicitly factorizable structure function

$$G(\xi) = (1 - \xi^2)(1 + r_+A\xi)(1 + r_-A\xi), \quad (3)$$

where $r_{\pm} = m \pm \sqrt{m^2 - q^2}$ are the usual locations of the horizons of the Reissner–Nordström black hole. This new form is related to the previous one by a simple change of coordinates, but it has the obvious advantage that the roots of $G(\xi)$ are now straightforward to write down, compared with those of (2). As was demonstrated in [2], this leads to potentially drastic simplifications when analyzing the properties of the charged C-metric, since many results depend explicitly on these roots.

In this paper, we explore the extension of this idea to the rotating (charged) C-metric, which describes a pair of Kerr–Newman black holes uniformly accelerating away from each other, again under the action of conical singularities in the spacetime. In its traditional form, the line element of this spacetime is given by [3]

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{A^2(x-y)^2} \left[\frac{G(y)}{1 + (aAxy)^2} (dt - aAx^2 d\phi)^2 - \frac{1 + (aAxy)^2}{G(y)} dy^2 + \frac{1 + (aAxy)^2}{G(x)} dx^2 + \frac{G(x)}{1 + (aAxy)^2} (d\phi + aAy^2 dt)^2 \right], \quad (4)$$

with the structure function

$$G(\xi) = 1 - \xi^2 - 2mA\xi^3 - (a^2 + q^2)A^2\xi^4, \quad (5)$$

where a is a parameter related to the the black holes' angular momentum (per unit mass). As in (2), the roots of this quartic polynomial will in general be very complicated to write out explicitly. It is therefore worth asking if the structure function of this metric can be written in an explicitly factorizable form. Indeed, we shall show that it is possible to write it in the form (3), but with $r_{\pm}$ now given by

$$r_{\pm} = m \pm \sqrt{m^2 - a^2 - q^2}, \quad (6)$$

which are the usual locations of the horizons of the Kerr–Newman black hole.

There is, however, one crucial difference with the non-rotating case. It turns out that the new form of the rotating C-metric is physically distinct from the one in the traditional form, and so they cannot be related by a coordinate transformation. As we shall demonstrate, the two spacetimes differ in the nature of the conical singularities accelerating the two black holes apart. There are in general *torsion singularities* [4, 5] associated with the conical singularities in the traditional form of the rotating C-metric (4), (5), while these torsion singularities are absent in the new form.

Recall that torsion singularities arise when conical singularities possess a non-zero angular velocity. It is also possible to show that there are necessarily closed timelike curves (CTCs) in the neighbourhood of a torsion singularity [6]. This is pathological and thus physically undesirable. Therefore, we argue that our new form of the rotating C-metric *is the correct* generalization of the C-metric with rotation, as it does not suffer from the presence of CTCs as in the traditional form (4), (5).

The organization of this paper is as follows: In Sec. 2, we will review how the traditional form of the rotating C-metric can be obtained from the general solution of Plebanski and Demianski [7]. At the same time, we will show how a different choice of parameters in this general solution leads to the new form of the rotating C-metric. Some properties of the latter are then discussed in Sec. 3; in particular, we uncover the physical distinction between the traditional and new forms of the rotating C-metric. The paper ends with a brief discussion of how these results can be extended to include a background electric field or cosmological constant.

2. Derivation

In 1976, a very general class of dyonic solutions to Einstein–Maxwell theory (including a cosmological constant) was found by Plebanski and Demianski [7]. Setting the cosmological

constant and magnetic charge to zero, the line element and electromagnetic potential of their solution are given by

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{(x-y)^2} \left[\frac{G(y)}{1+(xy)^2} (dt - x^2 d\phi)^2 - \frac{1+(xy)^2}{G(y)} dy^2 \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1+(xy)^2}{G(x)} dx^2 + \frac{G(x)}{1+(xy)^2} (d\phi + y^2 dt)^2 \right], \quad (7)$$

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{qy (dt - x^2 d\phi)}{1+(xy)^2}, \quad (8)$$

where

$$G(\xi) = \gamma + 2n\xi - \epsilon\xi^2 + 2m\xi^3 - (\gamma + q^2)\xi^4. \quad (9)$$

This solution contains five arbitrary parameters: γ , ϵ , m , n and q . The first two parameters are non-trivially related to the acceleration and angular momentum of the solution, while the latter three parameters are respectively related to its mass, ‘NUT’ parameter and electric charge. These identifications were made by considering how some known solutions, such as the Kerr–Newman–NUT solution and the C-metric, can be recovered as special cases of this solution [7] (see also [8]).

To obtain the rotating C-metric, we rescale the coordinates and parameters as follows [3]:

$$x \rightarrow \sqrt{aA}x, \quad y \rightarrow \sqrt{aA}y, \quad \phi \rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{a}{A^3}}\phi, \quad t \rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{a}{A^3}}t, \\ \gamma \rightarrow A^2\gamma, \quad \epsilon \rightarrow \frac{A}{a}\epsilon, \quad m \rightarrow -\sqrt{\frac{A^3}{a^3}}m, \quad n \rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{A^5}{a}}n, \quad q \rightarrow \frac{A}{a}q. \quad (10)$$

Then the solution (7)–(9) becomes

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{A^2(x-y)^2} \left[\frac{G(y)}{1+(aAxy)^2} (dt - aAx^2 d\phi)^2 - \frac{1+(aAxy)^2}{G(y)} dy^2 \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1+(aAxy)^2}{G(x)} dx^2 + \frac{G(x)}{1+(aAxy)^2} (d\phi + aAy^2 dt)^2 \right], \quad (11)$$

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{qy (dt - aAx^2 d\phi)}{1+(aAxy)^2}, \quad (12)$$

where

$$G(\xi) = \gamma + 2nA\xi - \epsilon\xi^2 - 2mA\xi^3 - (\gamma a^2 + q^2)A^2\xi^4. \quad (13)$$

By calculating the curvature invariants of this spacetime [9], we see that γ and ϵ do not appear in them and are therefore kinematical parameters [7]. On the other hand, these

curvature invariants do depend on the so-called dynamical parameters m , n , q , a and A , with the latter two parameters determining the angular momentum and acceleration of the solution, respectively. If we choose $\gamma = \epsilon = 1$ and set the ‘NUT’ parameter n to zero, we recover the traditional form of the rotating C-metric (4), (5).

Since γ and ϵ are kinematical parameters, we are actually free to choose their values without affecting the physics of the solution. Hence, we shall adopt the new choice $\gamma = 1$, $\epsilon = 1 - (a^2 + q^2)A^2$, so that the structure function (13) becomes

$$G(\xi) = 1 + 2nA\xi - \left[1 - (a^2 + q^2)A^2\right]\xi^2 - 2mA\xi^3 - (a^2 + q^2)A^2\xi^4. \quad (14)$$

If we further set the value of the ‘NUT’ parameter to be $n = m$, we see that it is possible to cast the structure function (14) in the explicitly factorizable form:

$$G(\xi) = (1 - \xi^2)(1 + r_+A\xi)(1 + r_-A\xi), \quad (15)$$

where $r_{\pm}$ are the usual locations of the horizons in the Kerr–Newman black hole, given by (6). The solution (11), (12), with the structure function (15), is therefore the new form of the rotating C-metric that we advocate; it clearly reduces to the factorized form of the charged C-metric in [2] when the rotation parameter a is set to zero.

Because the traditional and new forms of the rotating C-metric differ in the choice of the dynamical parameter n , they are physically distinct spacetimes and so cannot be related by a change of coordinates. This is in contrast to the non-rotating case when $a = 0$. In this limit, n becomes a kinematical parameter, and its choice no longer affects the physics of the spacetime. As described in [2], it is indeed possible to find a coordinate transformation relating the traditional and new forms of the non-rotating charged C-metric.

We will uncover the physical meaning of the parameter n in the next section. However, it is important to emphasize at this stage, that n does *not* have an interpretation in terms of a NUT parameter. Recall that this identification was made in [7, 8] on the basis of how certain solutions with NUT parameter can be obtained as limiting cases of (7)–(9). It turns out that n has a rather different physical interpretation in the general solution, before any limit is taken.

3. Properties

We now analyze some properties of the rotating C-metric in the new form (11), (12) and

(15). Aspects of the rotating C-metric have previously been studied in [10, 11, 12, 9, 13, 3].

Let us assume, as in the non-rotating case [2], that the parameters of the solution satisfy

$$0 \leq r_- A \leq r_+ A < 1. \quad (16)$$

If we denote the four real roots of the structure function (15) by

$$\xi_1 \equiv -\frac{1}{r_- A}, \quad \xi_2 \equiv -\frac{1}{r_+ A}, \quad \xi_3 \equiv -1, \quad \xi_4 \equiv 1, \quad (17)$$

then they obey $\xi_1 \leq \xi_2 < \xi_3 < \xi_4$. In order to have the correct spacetime signature, the x and y coordinates must take the ranges $\xi_3 \leq x \leq \xi_4$ and $\xi_2 \leq y \leq \xi_3$, respectively. Asymptotic infinity is located at $x = y = \xi_3$. The black-hole event horizon is located at $y = \xi_2$, while the acceleration horizon is at $y = \xi_3$. The line $x = \xi_4$ is the part of the symmetry axis between the event and acceleration horizons, while $x = \xi_3$ is that part of the symmetry axis joining up the event horizon with asymptotic infinity.

As in the non-rotating C-metric, there are in general conical singularities along $x = \xi_3$ and ξ_4 . If we take the angle ϕ to have period $\Delta\phi$, then the deficit angle along $x = \xi_i$ is

$$\delta_i = 2\pi - \alpha_i \Delta\phi, \quad (18)$$

where

$$\alpha_3 = 1 - 2mA + (a^2 + q^2)A^2, \quad \alpha_4 = 1 + 2mA + (a^2 + q^2)A^2. \quad (19)$$

These expressions are considerably simpler than the corresponding ones in the traditional form of the rotating C-metric when $G(\xi)$ is given by (5). However, the physics of these conical singularities remains unchanged. Note that both conical singularities cannot be made to vanish at the same time. If we choose to remove the conical deficit along $x = \xi_4$ with the choice $\Delta\phi = 2\pi/\alpha_4$, then there is a positive deficit angle along $x = \xi_3$. This can be interpreted as a semi-infinite cosmic string pulling on the black hole. Alternatively, we can choose to remove the conical deficit along $x = \xi_3$ with the choice $\Delta\phi = 2\pi/\alpha_3$, resulting in a negative deficit angle along $x = \xi_4$. This can be interpreted as a strut pushing on the black hole. The strut continues past the acceleration horizon, and joins up with a ‘mirror’ black hole on the other side of it.

The crucial difference with the non-rotating case lies in the fact that these conical singularities will in general have torsion singularities associated with them. These arise when

the conical singularities possess a non-zero angular velocity, signified by a non-vanishing $\omega \equiv g_{t\phi}/g_{tt}$ along the symmetry axis [4, 5]. Let us write the line element of the spacetime in Weyl–Papapetrou form:

$$ds^2 = -e^{2\lambda}(dt + \omega d\phi)^2 + e^{-2\lambda}\rho^2 d\phi^2 + e^{2(\nu-\lambda)}(d\rho^2 + dz^2), \quad (20)$$

and go near the symmetry axis by taking ρ to be small. If ω is non-zero along the symmetry axis, then ϕ will become a timelike coordinate sufficiently close to the axis. Since ϕ is periodically identified, this will lead to the presence of CTCs [6]. The only situation in which these CTCs can be eliminated is when ω takes the same constant value along the *entire* symmetry axis. In this case, it is possible to perform a global transformation $t \rightarrow t - \omega|_{\rho=0} \phi$ [4] to give a new spacetime free of torsion singularities and its associated CTCs.

Let us first calculate ω along the symmetry axis in the traditional form of the rotating C-metric (4), (5). It can be shown that the values of ω along the two parts of the symmetry axis $x = \xi_3$ and $x = \xi_4$ are generally given by

$$\omega_i = -aA\xi_i^2. \quad (21)$$

This is true for whichever form (5), (14) or (15), of the structure function we use, provided we denote ξ_3 and ξ_4 to be its two largest roots. In the case of (5), we have $|\xi_3| \neq |\xi_4|$ and so the torsion singularities along both parts of the axis cannot be eliminated at the same time. We could choose to eliminate the torsion singularity along $x = \xi_4$ using the transformation $t \rightarrow t - \omega_4\phi$. This would give a semi-infinite rotating cosmic string along $x = \xi_3$. Alternatively, we could eliminate the torsion singularity along $x = \xi_3$ using the transformation $t \rightarrow t - \omega_3\phi$. This would result in a rotating strut along $x = \xi_4$. In either case, there would be CTCs near some part of the symmetry axis.

On the other hand, we have $|\xi_3| = |\xi_4|$ for the factorizable structure function (15) [c.f. (17)] and hence the values of ω are the same along $x = \xi_3$ and ξ_4 . The torsion singularities along both parts of the symmetry axis can then be eliminated at the same time. Performing the transformation $t \rightarrow t + aA\phi$, the solution (11), (12) becomes

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{A^2(x-y)^2} \left\{ \frac{G(y)}{1+(aAxy)^2} [dt + aA(1-x^2)d\phi]^2 - \frac{1+(aAxy)^2}{G(y)} dy^2 \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1+(aAxy)^2}{G(x)} dx^2 + \frac{G(x)}{1+(aAxy)^2} [(1+a^2A^2y^2)d\phi + aAy^2 dt]^2 \right\}, \quad (22)$$

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{qy [dt + aA(1-x^2)d\phi]}{1+(aAxy)^2}, \quad (23)$$

with $G(\xi)$ still given by (15). This is therefore the main difference between the traditional and new forms of the rotating C-metric: the latter is free of torsion singularities (after the above transformation) and hence is not plagued by the presence of CTCs near the symmetry axis. For this reason, we propose that (22), (23) and (15) should be the correct and natural generalization of the C-metric with rotation.

It is instructive to see what happens if we consider the solution with the structure function (14) instead. This could be regarded as a one-parameter generalization of our new form of the rotating C-metric, and the presence of the linear term depending on n in $G(\xi)$ will modify the expressions for the roots (17). In general, we will have $|\xi_3| \neq |\xi_4|$ as in the traditional form of the rotating C-metric, thus implying that this solution cannot be free of torsion singularities. It follows that the parameter n determines the rate at which the conical singularities are rotating. This interpretation is consistent with the observation made above that n loses its physical significance when $a = 0$; indeed, in the static limit we do not expect the conical singularities to be rotating at all.

The zero-acceleration limit can be taken by performing the coordinate transformation:

$$t = At', \quad x = \cos \theta, \quad y = -\frac{1}{Ar}, \quad (24)$$

and setting $A \rightarrow 0$. In this limit, the solution (22), (23) and (15) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 = & -\frac{r^2 - 2mr + q^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta}{r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta} \left(dt' - \frac{2mr - q^2}{r^2 - 2mr + q^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta} a \sin^2 \theta d\phi \right)^2 \\ & + \frac{(r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta)(r^2 - 2mr + a^2 + q^2)}{r^2 - 2mr + q^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta} \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2 \\ & + (r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta) \left[\frac{dr^2}{r^2 - 2mr + a^2 + q^2} + d\theta^2 \right], \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

$$\mathcal{A} = -\frac{qr(dt' + a \sin^2 \theta d\phi)}{r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta}, \quad (26)$$

which is the usual Kerr–Newman solution describing a single rotating charged black hole. In fact, we would have obtained the same limit even if we had used the generalized structure function (14) instead. This is due to the fact that the conical, as well as torsion, singularities disappear in the limit of zero acceleration.

We end off this section with a few other miscellaneous observations of how the properties of the rotating C-metric will simplify when the factorized structure function (15) is used. For example, the ‘angular velocity’ of the black-hole event horizon turns out to be

$$\Omega_H = \frac{1}{A} \frac{a}{r_+^2 + a^2}, \quad (27)$$

which is far simpler than the corresponding expression in the traditional form of the rotating C-metric [3]. Up to an overall factor $1/A$, this is, in fact, identical to the angular velocity of the event horizon of the Kerr–Newman black hole. Similarly, one can calculate the area of the event horizon to be

$$A_H = 4\pi \frac{r_+^2 + a^2}{1 - r_+^2 A^2}. \quad (28)$$

It clearly reduces to that of the Kerr–Newman black hole when $A = 0$.

Another notable advantage would be the simplification one would get when casting the rotating C-metric in the Weyl–Papapetrou form (20), following [13, 3]. Recall that the rod structure of this solution consists of a rod of finite length representing the black-hole event horizon, together with a semi-infinite rod representing the acceleration horizon. As in the static counterpart [2], the new form of the rotating C-metric leads to a more elegant description of the rod structure, in the sense that the positions of the rod ends are given by very simple and natural expressions. It is, in fact, possible to extend the results of [2] directly to this case, except that we have to replace the parameter q^2 by $a^2 + q^2$ everywhere. In particular, the finite rod representing the event horizon has length $2\sqrt{m^2 - a^2 - q^2}/A$. Up to a scale factor $1/A$, this is the same as the length of the corresponding rod in the Kerr–Newman solution.

4. Discussion

In this paper, we have proposed a new form of the rotating C-metric (22), (23), with an explicitly factorizable structure function (15), generalizing the form of the non-rotating C-metric in [2]. This solution is physically distinct from the traditional form of the rotating C-metric, in that the strut and/or cosmic string causing the black holes to accelerate apart do not contain any torsion singularities and is hence free of CTCs. Because of the latter fact, we claim that this new form should be considered the natural generalization of the C-metric with rotation.

Moreover, the fact that the structure function is factorizable means that its roots are now straightforward to write down, and this leads to simplifications in the analysis of the rotating C-metric. For example, simple expressions are found for the angular velocity and area of the black-hole event horizon. This new form of the solution also gives a natural description of the rod structure when it is cast in Weyl–Papapetrou form.

There are a few possible generalizations of this new form of the rotating C-metric. One

is to consider embedding this solution in a background electric field, which would give a rotating version of the Ernst solution [14]. Recall that the latter can be made free of conical singularities by choosing an appropriate strength of the background electric field. In this case, it describes a pair of Reissner–Nordström black holes accelerating apart purely under the influence of the background field. A natural question at this stage would be whether a background electric field can be introduced into the solution (22), (23) and (15), to give a spacetime free of conical singularities. Such a solution could be used to calculate the pair-production rate of Kerr–Newman black holes by a background electric field, following [15, 16, 17, 18].

We have managed to obtain this generalized solution following the procedure described in [19, 20]. Unfortunately, it is given by exceedingly complicated expressions and so will not be reproduced here. It is possible to show that this solution continues to be free of torsion singularities along the symmetry axes. For specific values of the parameters of the solution, we have checked that it is indeed possible to remove the remaining conical singularities with an appropriate choice of the background electric field strength.

Recall that the original solution of Plebanski and Demianski [7] also contains a cosmological constant Λ . It is therefore easy to embed the rotating C-metric solution in a de Sitter or anti-de Sitter background. A form of this solution which extends the factorizable structure of (22), (23) and (15) is

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{A^2(x-y)^2} \left\{ \frac{F(y)}{1+(aAxy)^2} [dt + aA(1-x^2)d\phi]^2 - \frac{1+(aAxy)^2}{F(y)} dy^2 \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1+(aAxy)^2}{G(x)} dx^2 + \frac{G(x)}{1+(aAxy)^2} [(1+a^2A^2y^2)d\phi + aAy^2dt]^2 \right\}, \quad (29)$$

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{qy[dt + aA(1-x^2)d\phi]}{1+(aAxy)^2}, \quad (30)$$

where the structure functions are

$$G(x) = (1-x^2)(1+r_+Ax)(1+r_-Ax), \quad (31)$$

$$F(y) = G(y) + \frac{\Lambda}{3A^2}(1+a^2A^2y^4). \quad (32)$$

Here, the parameters $r_{\pm}$ are defined by

$$r_{\pm} = m \pm \sqrt{m^2 - q^2 - a^2 \left(1 + \frac{\Lambda}{3A^2}\right)}, \quad (33)$$

although it is important to note that they are no longer the locations of the horizons of the Kerr–Newman–dS/AdS black hole, as in the case of zero cosmological constant. In the

non-rotating limit, this solution is equivalent to the dS/AdS C-metric solutions in [21, 22, 23, 24, 25], up to a coordinate transformation of the form described in [2].

It can be checked that the general solution (29)–(32) is free of torsion singularities along the symmetry axes $x = \pm 1$, and should therefore be considered the natural generalization of the rotating C-metric with a cosmological constant. It would be interesting to compare the properties of this solution to the rotating dS C-metric solution presented in [26], and also study the pair production of rotating black holes in the dS/AdS background [21, 26, 27, 28].

References

- [1] W. Kinnersley and M. Walker, “Uniformly accelerating charged mass in general relativity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **2** (1970) 1359.
- [2] K. Hong and E. Teo, “A new form of the C-metric,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **20** (2003) 3269 [arXiv:gr-qc/0305089].
- [3] V. Pravda and A. Pravdová, “On the spinning C-metric,” in *Gravitation: Following the Prague Inspiration (Selected Essays in Honour of J. Bičák)*, eds. O. Semerák, J. Podolský and M. Žofka, World Scientific, Singapore (2002) [arXiv:gr-qc/0201025].
- [4] P. S. Letelier and S. R. de Oliveira, “Double Kerr-NUT spacetimes: spinning strings and spinning rods,” *Phys. Lett. A* **238** (1998) 101.
- [5] W. B. Bonnor, “The interactions between two classical spinning particles,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **18** (2001) 1381.
- [6] W. B. Bonnor, “Closed timelike curves in general relativity,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **12** (2003) 1705 [arXiv:gr-qc/0211051].
- [7] J. F. Plebanski and M. Demianski, “Rotating, charged, and uniformly accelerating mass in general relativity,” *Annals Phys.* **98** (1976) 98.
- [8] H. Stephani, D. Kramer, M. MacCallum, C. Hoenselaers and E. Herlt, “Exact solutions to Einstein’s field equations,” 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2003), pp. 322–325.
- [9] P. S. Letelier and S. R. Oliveira, “Uniformly accelerated black holes,” *Phys. Rev. D* **64** (2001) 064005 [arXiv:gr-qc/9809089].

- [10] H. Farhoosh and R. L. Zimmerman, “Stationary charged C metric,” J. Math. Phys. **20** (1979) 2272.
- [11] H. Farhoosh and R. L. Zimmerman, “Surfaces of infinite red-shift around a uniformly accelerating and rotating particle,” Phys. Rev. D **21** (1980) 2064.
- [12] H. Farhoosh and R. L. Zimmerman, “Killing horizons around a uniformly accelerating and rotating particle,” Phys. Rev. D **22** (1980) 797.
- [13] J. Bičák and V. Pravda, “Spinning C-metric: radiative spacetime with accelerating, rotating black holes,” Phys. Rev. D **60** (1999) 044004 [arXiv:gr-qc/9902075].
- [14] F. J. Ernst, “Removal of the nodal singularity of the C-metric,” J. Math. Phys. **17** (1976) 515.
- [15] G. W. Gibbons, “Quantised flux-tubes in Einstein–Maxwell theory and non-compact internal spaces,” in *Fields and Geometry 1986: Proceedings of the XXIIInd Winter School and Workshop of Theoretical Physics, Karpacz, Poland*, ed. A. Jadczyk, World Scientific, Singapore (1986).
- [16] D. Garfinkle and A. Strominger, “Semiclassical Wheeler wormhole production,” Phys. Lett. B **256** (1991) 146.
- [17] F. Dowker, J. P. Gauntlett, D. A. Kastor and J. H. Traschen, “Pair creation of dilaton black holes,” Phys. Rev. D **49** (1994) 2909 [arXiv:hep-th/9309075].
- [18] I. S. Booth and R. B. Mann, “Complex instantons and charged rotating black hole pair creation,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **81** (1998) 5052 [arXiv:gr-qc/9806015].
- [19] F. J. Ernst, “Black holes in a magnetic universe,” J. Math. Phys. **17** (1976) 54.
- [20] F. J. Ernst and W. J. Wild, “Kerr black holes in a magnetic universe,” J. Math. Phys. **17** (1976) 182.
- [21] R. B. Mann and S. F. Ross, “Cosmological production of charged black hole pairs,” Phys. Rev. D **52** (1995) 2254 [arXiv:gr-qc/9504015].
- [22] J. Podolský and J. B. Griffiths, “Uniformly accelerating black holes in a de Sitter universe,” Phys. Rev. D **63** (2001) 024006 [arXiv:gr-qc/0010109].

- [23] J. Podolský, “Accelerating black holes in anti-de Sitter universe,” Czech. J. Phys. **52** (2002) 1 [arXiv:gr-qc/0202033].
- [24] Ó. J. C. Dias and J. P. S. Lemos, “Pair of accelerated black holes in anti-de Sitter background: The AdS C-metric,” Phys. Rev. D **67** (2003) 064001 [arXiv:hep-th/0210065].
- [25] Ó. J. C. Dias and J. P. S. Lemos, “Pair of accelerated black holes in a de Sitter background: The dS C-metric,” Phys. Rev. D **67** (2003) 084018 [arXiv:hep-th/0301046].
- [26] I. S. Booth and R. B. Mann, “Cosmological pair production of charged and rotating black holes,” Nucl. Phys. B **539** (1999) 267 [arXiv:gr-qc/9806056].
- [27] Ó. J. C. Dias and J. P. S. Lemos, “Pair creation of de Sitter black holes on a cosmic string background,” Phys. Rev. D **69** (2004) 084006 [arXiv:hep-th/0310068].
- [28] Ó. J. C. Dias, “Pair creation of anti-de Sitter black holes on a cosmic string background,” Phys. Rev. D **70** (2004) 024007 [arXiv:hep-th/0401069].